IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE THIRTIETH DAY OF SEPTEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE GHULAM MOHAMMED

WRIT PETITION NO : 4489 of 1995

Between:

1. K. Venkata Ramanaiah, S/o K. Narsimhaiah,
Ex-TTD employee in the cadre of NMR/Volunteer,
Tirumala, Chittoor District.
2. G. Dasarathan, S/o Govindaiah,
Ex-TTD employee in the cadre of NMR/Volunteer,
Tirumala, Chittoor District.
3. M. Muni Reddy, S/o Chinna Reddy,
Ex-TTD employee in the cadre of NMR/Volunteer,
Tirumala, Chittoor District.
4. D. Divakar, S/o D. Muniraja,
Ex-TTD employee in the cadre of NMR/Volunteer,
Tirumala, Chittoor District.

... PETITIONERS

AND

The Execuitve Officer,
Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanams, Tirupathi.

...RESPONDENT

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction particularly in the nature of writ of Mandamus, declaring the in-action of the respondent in reappointing the petitioners in TTD Service and considering them for absorption as arbitrary, discriminatory and violative of Articles 14 & 16 of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondent to re-appoiont the petitioners into TTD Service and absorb them in regular establishments as per G.O.Ms No. 390 & 391 both dated 06.05.1991 with retrospective effect from 01-08-1991 with all consequential benefits, by holding that discontinuing the petitioners from service is bad in law.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR. V. JAGAPATHI

Counsel for the Respondent: MR. A.K. JAYA PRAKASH RAO

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

This writ petition is filed challenging the in-action of the respondent in reappointing the petitioners in TTD Service and considering them for absorption as arbitrary, discriminatory and violative of Articles 14 & 16 of the Constitution of India and consequently seeks direction to the respondent to re-appoint the petitioners into TTD Service and to absorb them in regular establishments as per G.O.Ms No. 390 & 391 both dated 06.05.1991 with retrospective effect from 01-08-1991 with all consequential benefits.

2. It is now represented by the learned Standing Counsel for the respondent that this Court in W.P.M.P.No.5527 of 1995 by its order dated 10-03-1995, directed the petitioners to give a fresh detailed representation showing their individual service particulars as well as the details of the juniors, who have been appointed by the respondent, and on receipt of such representation, the respondent shall consider the same and pass appropriate orders in accordance with Rules within three months from the date of receipt of the said representation from the petitioners. Pursuant to those directions the claim of the petitioners for appointment to Class IV was considered and rejected on the ground that there are no juniors to the petitioners.

3. In such view of the matter, learned Standing Counsel submitted that no further orders are required to be passed in this writ petition. In the circumstances, the writ petition is accordingly dismissed. No costs.

GHULAM MOHAMMED, J

Dt.30-09-2004

Glv/kk

Copies to:
1. The Execuitve Officer,
Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanams, Tirupathi.

2. Two C D copies.